

DATE MAILED: 10/10/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Annii antian Na	Amplicant(a)
Advisory Action	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/884,829	VAN DEN BERG, KAREL Art Unit
	Examiner Michael P. Ferguson	3679
⊕-The MAILING DATE of this communication app		
THE REPLY FILED 17 September 2003 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	ACE THIS APPLICATION II avoid abandonment of this a 1) a timely filed amendmen	N CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. application. A proper reply to a t which places the application in
PERIOD FOR R	REPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing da	•	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Of timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	e later than SIX MONTHS from the AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS the date on which the petition unde the difference of the state of the shortened statutory period for fifice later than three months after	e mailing date of the final rejection. S OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP r 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension ing amount of the fee. The appropriate extension or reply originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>17 September 200</u> 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF		
2. \square The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered to	because:	
(a) \square they raise new issues that would require furth	her consideration and/or se	arch (see NOTE below);
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);	
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by	materially reducing or simplifying the
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	eling a corresponding numb	er of finally rejected claims.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):	·
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted	in a separate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: _		considered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOL	LELY to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims v		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:	
Claim(s) allowed:	·	
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected:		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a) approved or b) c	disapproved by the Examiner.
9. \square Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper N	o(s)
10. Other:		LYNNE H. BROWNE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3620